

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,619	11/10/1999	DORIAN BIRSAN	12991(CA998-	8229
	7590 12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
RICHARD L CATANIA ESQ			HONG, STEPHEN S	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY AND PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			2178	٠. ب
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/437,619	BIRSAN ET AL.					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen S. Hong	2178					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation in the final the	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: The amendments including new claims 3	32-39 would require further consider	ration.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
10. Other:		Stohl	> .				
		STEPHEN S. HO PRIMARY EXAM					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as exaplained on page 10 of previous office action, Paper #5, the rejection is based on what the references considered as a whole taught and/or suggested..